

MONTGOMERY®

Annual Assessment Reporting Manual Administrative Units

Revised March 2017

Annual Assessment Reporting Manual: Administrative Units

Table of Contents

Background	Page 1
Regional Governance	Page 1
State Governance	Page 1
Institutional Governance.	Page 2

Assessment Activities	Page 3
Process	Page 5
Development of Goals	Page 5
Intended Results	Page 6
Measurement	Page 6
Criteria	Page 6
Documenting Results and "Closing the Loop"	Page 7
Timeline	Page 9
Administrative Assessment Committee	Page 9
References	Page 10

Background

Regional Governance

In the early 1980s, a reform of higher education was initiated by the federal government. From that point forward, regional accrediting agencies would be required to measure the effectiveness of institutions.

The regional accrediting agency responsible for setting standards for Auburn University at Montgomery (AUM) is The Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools – Commision on Colleges (SACS-COC). According to the commission, the "mission is the enhancement of educational quality throughout the region and it strives to improve the effectiveness of institutions by ensuring that institutions meet standards established by the higher education community that address the needs of society and students," (Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, 2011). A core of the SACS philosophy is that each institution should be "engaged in an ongoing program of improvement and be able to demonstrate how well it fulfills its stated mission," and should engage in "continuous assessment and improvement." (Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, 2011). Requirements 2.5 and 3.3 as described by SACS explains in detail the expectations for demonstration of "Institutional Effectiveness" (Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, 2012).

SACS website is <u>http://sacscoc.org/</u>. Accreditation standards can be found at <u>http://www.sacscoc.org/pdf/Resource%20Manual.pdf</u>.

State Governance

At the state level, the Alabama Commission on Higher Education (ACHE) practices governance over some aspects of the Institution. One of the reasons of this governance is to ensure that taxpayers are afforded evidence of accountability. ACHE emphasizes the use of assessment results to improve services (Alabama Commission on Higher Education, 2001).

ACHE's website is http://www.ache.state.al.us/.

Institutional Governance

Mission Statement

The mission of Auburn University at Montgomery is to provide quality and diverse educational opportunities at the undergraduate and graduate levels through the use of traditional and electronic delivery systems, and to foster and support an environment conducive to teaching, research, scholarship, and collaboration with government agencies, our community, and other educational institutions.

Core Values

Auburn University at Montgomery values and promotes:

- a Student-Centered Experience,
- Citizenship & Community Engagement,
- Excellence as Our Standard,
- Commitment to Constant Improvement,
- Diversity of People & Perspective with a Culture of Inclusiveness,
- Lifelong Learning, and an
- Environment of Collaboration

Vision 2018

Auburn University at Montgomery will become a university that...

- Is known for its commitment to developing dynamic and applied academic and research programs.
- Is a student's first choice in a variety of programs of distinction.
- Embraces and builds an international presence at home and abroad and is increasingly known for its ability to prepare students to thrive in a global community.
- Graduates students who become engaged alumni with a life-long interest in and personal connection to AUM.
- Is recognized as an integral community partner and a resource for the enrichment and economic development of the Southeast region and beyond.

An updated strategic plan was approved by the Board of Trustees in 2013. The Strategic Plan can be found at <u>http://www.aum.edu/docs/default-source/university-policy/final-strategic-plan---strategies-only---september-2013.pdf?sfvrsn=0</u>.

Assessment Activities

Process

Primarily, assessment consists of several parts: planning, measurement, the interpretation of results, and adjustments made after interpretation. It is a continuous process with the most important part being the "closing of the loop".



Each unit should review or develop a mission statement for their area that supports their department's mission and the university's mission. The mission statement will briefly summarize what the unit does.

Mission Statement		
☑ Brief	\otimes Rambling	
☑ Focused	⊗ Broad	
☑ Justifies existence	\otimes Does not describe reason for being	
☑ Describes goals	\otimes Not goal oriented	
☑ Reinforces department mission	\otimes Does not support department mission	
☑ Reinforces AUM mission	\otimes Does not support AUM mission	

The AUM Mission Statement: The mission of Auburn University at Montgomery is to provide quality and diverse educational opportunities at the undergraduate and graduate levels through use of traditional and electronic delivery systems, and to foster and support an environment conducive to teaching, research, scholarship, and collaboration with government agencies, our community, and other educational institutions.

Development of Goals

Administrative units must measure Operational/Administrative Process goals each year. They must have clear criteria set to demonstrate success.

- **Operational/Administrative Process goals** are those measuring administrative operations or student services provided by the unit. Examples include:
 - Assessment of advising effectiveness
 - o Plans to staff adequate faculty and support personnel
 - Fund-raising activities and goals

Operational/Administrative Process Goal Statement		
☑ Specific – describes the process exactly	\otimes Vague – does not describe the process	
☑ M easurable	\otimes Not measurable	
🗹 Attainable	\otimes Difficult to attain	
Results-oriented –information for	\otimes Does not provide information for	
decision-making	decision-making	
☑ T ime-bound	⊗ Not time-bound	
☑ Improvable	\otimes Not improvable	
☑ Identifies assessment tools	\otimes Assessment tools not identified	
☑ Describes/explains technical language	\otimes Does not describe/explain technical	
	language	
☑ Includes data-supported justification	\otimes Does not include data-supported	
for the goal	justification	

Example: As a result of earning a score of 3.46 out of 5.0 on effectiveness in the Employee Satisfaction Survey, in 2016 Human Resources will create newsletters that will provide information about unit operations and opportunities for employee professional development. An edition will be delivered electronically during the fall and spring semesters. Each employee will receive an e-mail announcement when the newsletter becomes available.

*Note: All examples are created for the purposes of illustrating good practices. They do not necessarily reflect actual goals and outcomes set by AUM units. Intended Results

An intended result describes exactly what is expected if the outcome is met.

<u>Measurement</u>

All intended results must be measurable. Methods of measurement will depend on the nature of what is being assessed. Ideally, direct methods will be used to measure results and when possible, multiple assessment method should be used to measure results for each outcome.

- Direct measures include:
 - Promotional/marketing materials
 - Attendance at event
 - Changed activity level (such as fewer complaints, increased visits by students, etc.)
 - o Increased physical resources
 - o Increased human resources
- Indirect measures include:
 - Self-reported data, from instruments such as:
 - Noel-Levitz Student Satisfaction Inventory
 - National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)
 - Graduating Senior Survey
 - Alumni Survey
 - Focus group feedback

<u>Criteria</u>

Criteria should be set to indicate when a goal is being met or when change is indicated.

Criteria	
Describes satisfactory results	\otimes Does not set a threshold for satisfactory
	results
☑ Be reasonable but challenging	\otimes Not reasonable and/or challenging
🗹 Be data (numbers) based	\otimes Not data (numbers) based

Example: It is expected that Human Resources will prepare two electronic newsletters each year. One newsletter will be delivered to employees in the fall semester, and one will be delivered in the spring semester.

*Note: All examples are created for the purposes of illustrating good practices. They do not necessarily reflect actual goals and outcomes set by AUM units. Documenting Results and "Closing the Loop"

After goals are measured, programs must document the results and use them to make decisions about how to create improvement.

Results describe what actually occurred. There are two parts that should be included to explain results:

1) Degree to which success was achieved. For example, a scale can be used such as:

Not at all achieved Minimally achieved Adequately achieved

2) Specific **evidence** of what actually occurred. *Concrete* outcomes should be described. This includes survey results, scores, or records. The actual result should show performance related to the target that was set. Include any exhibits to support the evidence.

Results		
☑ Related to criteria	\otimes Not related to criteria	
☑ Indicates how well expectations were	\otimes Does not indicate how well	
met	expectations were met	
☑ Describes what was learned that will be	\otimes Does not describe what was learned	
useful for decision-making	that will be useful for decision-making	
☑ Clear/succinct	\otimes Not clear	

Example: In 2016, Human Resources met this goal and developed and delivered two newsletters to employees. Each newsletter included information about who should be contacted for what responsibilities in the office, recent news about changes in Human Resource office processes, and details about opportunities for employee professional development. As a result, we received a 4.29 in effectiveness on the annual Employee Satisfaction Survey.

*Note: All examples are created for the purposes of illustrating good practices. They do not necessarily reflect actual goals and outcomes set by AUM units.

The assessment process is not completed unless decisions are made from what is learned from results. To **close the loop**, assessors should set future goals specifying changes that will be made because of what was learned from the assessment.

Units should always strive to improve. Therefore, it is not good assessment practice to measure the same outcomes every year if all of the targets are consistently being met. It is expected that either targets should be re-evaluated to determine if they are appropriately rigorous or that new goals will be set.

Close the Loop		
Describes changes that will be made	\otimes No changes or no justification why no	
based on results	change is needed	
Changes in processes		
Changes in expectations		
☑ Addresses any gaps in expectations and	\otimes Does not address gaps in expectations	
actual performance	and actual performance	
☑ Demonstrates a commitment to	⊗ Does not demonstrate a commitment	
continuous improvement	to continuous improvement	

Example: In 2016, Human Resources will continue to develop and distribute a newsletter in the fall semester and spring semester. Additional material will be included in each edition about how employees can best prepare for retirement.

*Note: All examples are created for the purposes of illustrating good practices. They do not necessarily reflect actual goals and outcomes set by AUM units.

<u>Timeline</u>

August/September –Administrative departments/units are expected to set goal by the beginning of the annual academic year (August). Results can be measured using the annual calendar year, the academic year, or the fiscal year. Regardless of the calendar used for measurement, results must be reported by the end of the annual academic year (by the end of July).

Assessment is 100% mandatory.

Administrative Assessment Committee

AUM has an Administrative Assessment Committee with members who are available to provide assistance with assessment activities. For more information about how to contact representatives, call the Office of Institutional Effectiveness at 334-244-3732.

References

Alabama Commission on Higher Education. (2001). Proposal for a new baccalaureate degree program. Retrieved from http://www.ache.state.al.us/Content/Departments/Instruction/Instruction.aspx.

Southern Association of Colleges and Schools – Commission on Colleges. (2011). Handbook for Institutions seeking reaffirmation. Retrieved from <u>http://www.sacscoc.org/pdf/081705/Handbook%20for%20Institutions%20seeking</u> <u>ng%20reaffirmation.pdf</u>

Southern Association of Colleges and Schools – Commission on Colleges (2012). *Resource manual for the principles of accreditation: Foundations for quality enhancement*. Retrieved from <u>http://www.sacscoc.org/pdf/Resource%20Manual.pdf</u>.

Contact

Justin Chandler Associate Director 334-244-3918 jchandl7@aum.edu