2015 EPP Annual Report

CAEP ID:	11895	AACTE SID:	228
Institution:	Auburn University Montgomery		
Unit:	College of Education		

Section 1. AIMS Profile

After reviewing and/or updating the Educator Preparation Provider's (EPP's) profile in AIMS, check the box to indicate that the information available is accurate.

In AIMS, the following information is current and accurate...

	Agree	Disagree
Contact person	0	\bigcirc
EPP characteristics	۲	\bigcirc
Program listings	۲	\bigcirc

Section 2. Program Completers

How many candidates completed programs that prepared them to work in preschool through grade 12 settings during Academic Year 2013-2014 ?

Enter a numeric value for each textbox.

Number of completers in programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure

57

89

Number of completers in programs leading to a degree, endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools (Do not include those completers counted above.)

Total number of program completers 146

Section 3. Substantive Changes

Have any of the following substantive changes occurred at your educator preparation provider or institution/organization during the 2013-2014 academic year?

3.1 Changes in the published mission or objectives of the institution/organization or the EPP No Change / Not Applicable

3.2 The addition of programs of study at a degree or credential level different from those that were offered when most recently accredited

An additional Master's program in Instructional Technology (M.Ed.) was approved during the 2013-2014 academic year. This program does not result in certification at the State level but the majority of the individuals that graduate from this program are employed in P-12 schools.

3.3 The addition of courses or programs that represent a significant departure, in terms of either content or delivery, from those that were offered when most recently accredited

No Change / Not Applicable

3.4 A contract with other providers for direct instructional services, including any teach-out agreements

No Change / Not Applicable

Any change that means the EPP no longer satisfies accreditation standards or requirements:

3.5 Change in regional accreditation status

No Change / Not Applicable

3.6 Change in state program approval

No Change / Not Applicable

Section 4. Display of candidate performance data.

Provide a link that demonstrates candidate performance data are public-friendly and prominently displayed on the school, college, or department of education homepage. Candidate Performance Data:

http://www.education.aum.edu/about/candidate_performance_data

Section 5. Candidate and Program Measures

For each required measure of program impact, program outcome, or consumer information, evidence must be provided for programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure. CAEP encourages EPPs to provide information on the optional reporting measures as well.

CAEP's 8 Reporting Measures

- 5.1 Impact on P-12 learning and development
- 5.2 Results of completer surveys
- 5.3 Graduation rates
- 5.4 Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements
- 5.5 Indicators of teaching effectiveness
- 5.6 Results of employer surveys, and including retention and employment milestones
- 5.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared
- 5.8 Student loan default rates and other consumer information

* Indicate whether the EPP is currently offering a program or programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure.

- Yes, a program (or programs) leading to initial teacher certification is currently being offered.
- O No, a program (or programs) leading to initial teacher certification is not currently being offered.

5.1 Impact on P-12 learning and development. *Report information on <u>candidate</u> performance during pre-service and <u>completer</u> performance during in-service for programs leading to an <u>initial</u> teacher certification or licensure.*

Which of the following measures of impact on P-12 student learning is the EPP using and planning to use as evidence?

		Data are not available		
Assessments	Data are available	The EPP has a plan to collect data in the next two years.	The EPP does not currently have a plan to collect data within the next two years.	
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	
5.1.1 Candidate performance during pre	-service			
Unit and lesson plans	()	0	0	
Pre-post tests of student learning	õ	ŏ	ŏ	
Videos of candidate instruction	۲	Ō	Ō	
Candidate reflection	۲	\bigcirc	0	
Surveys of P-12 students on candidate performance	0	0	۲	
State-adopted assessment(s) (specify) Praxis II Content Assessment, Principles of Learning & Teaching Praxis, AECTP (Basic Skills Assessments)	۲	0	0	
State-designed assessment(s) (specify)	0	0	۲	
EPP-designed assessment(s) (specify) Teacher Work Sample,	۲	0	0	
Other (specify)	0	0	۲	
5.1.2 Completer performance during in-	service			
Student achievement and/or learning models (e.g., value-added modeling)	0	0	۲	
EPP-designed case study	0	0	۲	
Other (specify)	0	0	۲	

5.2 Results of completer surveys. *Report information on the satisfaction of completers of programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure.*

5.2.1. If "Disagree", go to 5.3

	Agree	Disagree
Completer survey results are available to the EPP.	۲	\bigcirc

5.2.2 Which of the following descriptions characterize the completer survey(s) available on the preparation of the EPP? (Check all that apply.)

- The completer provides summary ratings of the EPP and its programs.
- The completer provides responses to open-ended questions about the EPP.
- The completer provides a response to questions about their preparation in at least one of the following areas:
 - Content knowledge
 - ✓ Instruction and pedagogical content knowledge
 - V Teaching diverse P-12 students
 - Teaching P-12 students with diverse needs
 - Classroom management
 - Alignment of teaching with state standards
 - Family and community engagement
 - Assessment of P-12 student learning
 - Vother (Specify)

5.2.3 If applicable, after a candidate completes a program, when does the EPP administer its completer surveys? (Check all that apply.)

- At the end of the program
- Between the end of the program and one year after program completion
- Between one and two years after program completion
- Between two and three years after program completion
- Between three and four years after program completion
- More than four years after program completion

5.2.4 Indicate the EPP's access to results of completer surveys and the survey response levels.

Record a response for each row.

Survey administered by	No access to data	Access to data	Number of completers surveyed	Number of responses received
EPP	0	۲	178	25
Individual program	۲	\bigcirc		
Institution or organization	0	۲	188	67
State	۲	\circ		
Other (specify)	۲	\circ		

5.2.5 The EPP can demonstrate that the completer survey is...

AgreeDisagreeReliable (produces consistent results about completer satisfaction)Image: Completer satisfactionValid (can make an appropriate inference about completer satisfaction)Image: Completer satisfaction

	A measure with a representative sample (demonstrates typical completer responses) Inclusive of stakeholder interests A measure that produces actionable results (provides specific guidance to the EPP for continuous improvement)	0 0 0	00000
5.2.6	The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on completer survey results.	۲	0

5.3 Graduation rates. Report information on enrollment and candidate progress in programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure, as of September 1, 2014.

Enter a numeric value for each textbox.

	Academic year a	candidate was first	enrolled		
	AY 2013-2014	AY 2012-2013	AY 2011-2012	AY 2010-2011	AY 2009-2010
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6
First Time Enrollment.	The number of can	didates who enroll	ed for the first time	e, during a specified	d academic year.
who were enrolled for the first time in a program leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure	129	127	191	201	266
Progress in AY 2013-20 certification or licensure de Number of candidates who were recommended					
for a initial teacher certification or licensure during AY 2013-2014	0	15	53	14	3
Example: If 15 candidates were recommended an initial teacher certification in	2 Two candidates (who first	10 10 candidates (who first	0 Zero candidates (who first	2 Two candidates (who first	1 One candidates (who first
<i>AY 2013-2014, the numbers across the row should sum to 15 (2+10+0+2+1).</i>	enrolled in AY 2013-2014) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.	enrolled in AY 2012-2013) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.	enrolled in AY 2011-2012) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.	enrolled in AY 2010-2011) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.	enrolled in AY 2009-2010) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.
numbers across the row should sum to 15	2013-2014) were recommended for an initial teacher	2012-2013) were recommended for an initial teacher	2011-2012) were recommended for an initial teacher	2010-2011) were recommended for an initial teacher	2009-2010) were recommended for an initial teacher

Been counseled out of a program	0	
Withdrawn from a program	0	

5.4 Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements. *Report information on candidate performance on state licensure tests for initial teacher certification or licensure.*

5.4.1 Assessment Pass Rates reported to Title II

	taking test	Average scaled scole	Number passing test		Statewide average pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2012-2013	92	0.41	92	100	100
All program completers, 2011-2012	112	0.58	112	100	100

5.4.2 The EPP can demonstrate that the licensure or certification test results are...

	Agree	Disagree
Representative (demonstrates typical candidate or completer performance)	۲	\circ
Actionable (provides specific guidance for continuous improvement)	۲	\bigcirc
5.4.3 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on certification test results.	۲	\circ

5.5 Indicators of teaching effectiveness. *Report information on the availability of measures of teaching effectiveness during in-service for <u>completers</u> of programs leading to an <u>initial</u> teacher certification or licensure*

For which of the following measures of teaching effectiveness does the EPP have data or plan to collect data?

Record a response for each assessment (row).

		Data are not available			
Assessments	Data are available	The EPP has a plan to collect data in the next two years.			
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4		
Completer performance during in-servic Surveys of P-12 students on completer performance	e	0	۲		
School district-level teacher evaluation	0	0	0		
Employer observations	Ō	Õ	٥		
Employer surveys	0	۲	0		
EPP-designed case study	0	0	۲		
Other (specify)	0	0	۲		

5.6 Results of employer surveys, including retention and employment milestones. *Report information on the*

availability of employer satisfaction data for completers employed by school districts.

5.6.1 If "Disagree", go to 5.7

	Agree	Disagree
Employer survey results are available to the EPP.	\circ	۲

5.6.2 Which of the following descriptions characterize the employer survey(s) available? (Check all that apply.)

The employer provides overall summary ratings of the completer.
The employer provides responses to open-ended questions about the completer.
The employer provides a response to questions about the completer's preparation in at least one of the following areas:
Collaboration with school-based colleagues and staff
Alignment of teaching with state standards
Family and community engagement
Content/subject matter
Instructional and pedagogical content knowledge
Development of a safe learning environment
Assessment of P-12 student learning
Teaching P-12 students with diverse needs
Teaching diverse P-12 students
Other (Specify)

5.6.3 Indicate the access the EPP has to results from employer surveys and their response levels. (Check all that apply.)

Record a response for each row.

Survey administered by	No access to data	Access to data	Number of completers surveyed	Number of responses received
EPP	۲	0		
Institution or Organization	۲	0		
School District	۲	\bigcirc		
State	۲	0		
Accreditation agency	۲	0		
Other (specify)	۲	0		

5.6.4 The EPP can demonstrate that the employer survey is...

	Agree	Disagree
Reliable (produces consistent results about employer satisfaction)	\bigcirc	۲
Valid (can make an appropriate inference about employer satisfaction)	\circ	۲
A measure with a representative sample (demonstrates typical employer responses)	0	۲
Inclusive of stakeholder interests	\circ	۲
A measure that produces actionable results (provides specific guidance to the EPP for continuous improvement)	0	۲
5.6.5 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on employer survey results.	0	۲

5.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared. *Report on the availability of employment information for <u>completers</u> of programs leading to an <u>initial</u> teacher licensure or certification, as of September 1, 2013.*

F	7	1	١f	"Disagree",	thon	ao	to	Б	0
э.	1.	- L		Disagree,	ulen	yυ	ιυ	0.0	0

	Agree	Disagree
The EPP has attempted to collect data on the employment status of completers.	۲	0
5.7.2 What strategies have the EPP used to collect data? (Check all that apply.)	
Completer survey		
Employer survey		
Institutional or organizational department (e.g., Alumni Office) (specify) Office of Student Services and Teacher Certification; Office of Institutional Effectiveness	SS	
Collaboration with other EPPs		
Collaboration with school districts		
Collaboration with state education departments		
Contracted a consultant or organization		
Other (specify)		
5.7.3 What challenges have the EPP encountered when collecting data? (Check	call tha	it apply.)
V Low response rates		

- Inaccurate reporting of employment status
- Maintaining current candidate records
- Privacy issues
- Insufficient resources
- Other (specify)

5.7.4 If "Disagree", then go to 5.8

The FPP has access	to information on	the employment	status of completers

Agree Disagree

5.7.5 The EPP has access to information on the employment status of completers from which of the following sources? (Check all that apply.)

Self-report from the completer
Third party:
School district
State department (specify)
Other (specify)

5.7.6 Based on the EPP's available information, complete the chart below on the employment status of candidates who completed their program in Academic Year 2013-2014.

		Number of completers with each employment status						
Year of program completion	Total number of completers	Employed in a position for which they were	Employed in an education position outside of	Enrolled in higher education	Employed outside of the education field	Not employed	Employment status unknown	

		prepared	their preparation				
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8
AY 2013-2014							
Example: If 60 candidates completed their program in AY 2013- 2014, the numbers across the row should sum to 60 (17+9+8+4+2+20)	60	17	9	0	4	2	20

5.8 Student loan default rates and other consumer information. *Report consumer information for the educator preparation provider.*

Indicate which of the following categories of consumer information the EPP has access to and publicly displays on its website. (Check all that apply.)

Record a response for each row.

Consumer information	No Access to data	Access to data	Publicly displayed data
3-year student loan default rate	0	۲	
Average cost of attendance	0	۲	http://www.aum.edu/admissions/financial-affairs/cashier- office/tuition-fees/2013-2014-tuition-and-fees
Average beginning salary of a program completer	0	0	http://www.teacherportal.com/teacher-salaries-by-state/
Placement patterns of completers	۲	0	
Other (specify)	0	0	

Section 6. Areas for Improvement, Weaknesses, and/or Stipulations

Summarize EPP activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the areas cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report.

Areas for Improvement related to Standard 2 cited as a result of the last NCATE review:

1	1.	The unit does not ensure consistent involvement of stakeholders in the	(ITP)	
		evaluation of assessments.	(11)	

We have not revised our assessments at this point because state regulations are in the process of being revised.

Section 7. Accreditation Pathway

Continuous Improvement. Summarize progress toward target level performance on the standard(s) selected. All areas of Standard III for advanced programs were selected to progress toward the target level. Progress on target goals: Goal 1: Interaction with families and school community will increase for all candidates in the COE through community and service learning projects collaboratively planned and implemented by peers. The Service Learning Committee recommended that COE students complete 100 hours of service, which includes 20 independent hours. COE students are currently fulfilling 80% of the hours through methods course requirements. Goal 2: Design an accurate, simple, and easy-to-use system to track field experiences and clinical practice of candidates. A system to track racial/ethnic/socio-economic diversity and the types of experiences for different programs across the SOE has been established and allows effective data analysis of individuals and groups. Data gathered from this survey are being used for program evaluation and continuous improvement. Goal 3: Candidates in all advanced programs will participate in field experiences that require them to critique and synthesize education theory related to classroom practice based on their own applied research. Each area with field experiences has established partnerships with P-12 institutions. These partnerships allow AUM teacher candidates to go into schools with their supervisor and not only observe classroom teachers and their students, but it also affords them the opportunity to teach lessons early on in their education program. Teacher candidates spend several hours with exemplary classroom teachers who work with our teacher candidates in designing lessons. The teacher candidates also critique each other and provide valuable feedback to each other. Clinical supervisors, along with classroom teachers, observe and critique teacher candidates and provide them with constructive feedback for development. Goal 4: Candidates will work collaboratively with other candidates and clinical faculty to critique and reflect on each others' practice and their effects on student learning with the goal of improving practice. The Goal 4 Committee is reviewing courses where Goal 4 is being implemented and working to ensure that Goal 4 continues to be implemented in at least two courses per program of study. Goal 5: The COE will develop stronger collaborative relationships with P-12 schools by participating in professional development and instructional programs with each other. Furthermore, the unit and school partners will integrate more resources to increase learning of candidates and P-12 students. Goal 5 has been completed. Goal 6: Candidates in all advanced programs will participate in field experiences that require them to critique and synthesize education theory related to classroom practice based on their own applied research. As of Spring 2015, the target of one course that provides a field experience incorporating education theory and applied research in each advanced program has been met.

Section 8: Preparer's Authorization

Preparer's authorization. By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2015 EPP Annual Report.

I am authorized to complete this report.

Report Preparer's Information

- Name: Rhonda Morton Position: Associate Dean
- Phone: (334) 657-3770
- E-mail: rmorton@aum.edu